DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVENUE

LETTER OF FINDINGS NUMBER: 05-0373P Income Tax For the Calendar Year 2004

NOTICE:

Under IC 4-22-7-7, this document is required to be published in the Indiana Register and is effective on its date of publication. It shall remain in effect until the date it is superceded or deleted by the publication of a new document in the Indiana Register. The publication of this document will provide the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific issue.

ISSUE

I. <u>Tax Administration</u> – Penalty

Authority: IC 6-8.1-10-2.1(d); 45 IAC 15-11-2;

The taxpayer protests the late penalty.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The late penalty was assessed on the late filing of an annual income tax return for the calendar year 2004. The taxpayer is an out-of-state resident.

I. <u>Tax Administration</u> – Penalty

DISCUSSION

The taxpayer requests the penalty be abated as the taxpayer did not receive the needed K-1 information to complete the income tax return until after the April 15th due date.

The Department takes the position that the taxpayer could have submitted an estimate by filing an extension before the April 15th due date. This estimated extension would have been calculated from information included in the 3rd quarterly report or the annual statement of the limited partnership. Then, when the taxpayer received the actual K-1, the taxpayer could file the individual tax return requesting a refund.

The regulation which controls the application of penalty is 45 IAC 15-11-2(b) which states,

Negligence, on behalf of a taxpayer is defined as the failure to use such reasonable care, caution, or diligence as would be expected of an ordinary reasonable taxpayer. Negligence would result from a taxpayer's carelessness, thoughtlessness, disregard or inattention to duties placed upon the taxpayer by the Indiana Code or department regulations. Ignorance of the listed tax laws, rules and/or regulations is treated as negligence. Further, failure to read and follow instructions provided by the department is treated as negligence. Negligence shall be determined on a case by case basis according to the facts and circumstances of each taxpayer.

The Department finds the taxpayer was inattentive of tax duties as the taxpayer did not pay 90 percent of the tax due by the April 15th due date. Inattention is negligence and negligence is subject to penalty. As such, the Department finds the penalty proper and denies the penalty protest.

FINDING

The taxpayer's penalty protest is denied.

TB/TG/DK-062704